## [Decree Nisi-Dissolution (where Co-Respondent cited)]

## In the High Court of Justice

UND. DEF. 3730.

PROBATE, DIVORCE AND ADMIRALTY DIVISION (DIVORCE)

Breformenthex Bright xho no unabla

Toex Coexident

Before the Honourable Sir Seymour Karminski

Knight,

one of the Justices of the High Court,

sitting at

the Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, in the County of Middlesex.

On the

28th

day of

February

19 58.

Between

Wardlaw Walter Waddell

Petitioner

and

Kathleen Lilian Waddell

Respondent

and

Peter Court

Co-Respondent.

The

Judge

, having taken the oral evidence of the Petitioner and

of the witness produced on

his

behalf

in support of the Petition filed in this Cause,

and having heard Counsel thereon,

the Respondent and Co-Respondent

not defending the suit at the hearing,

pronounced that the Petitioner had sufficiently proved the contents of the said Petition and

innxthen exenciae xonxthenxdiaexectionmeantementententenxhomxthexhym Sectionxn4man xthe 

decreed that the marriage had and solemnized on the

20th

day of

May

1944

Quorn Parish Church

the Petitioner and Kathleen Lilian Waddell then Blunt spinster

the Respondent be dissolved by reason that since the celebration thereof the Respondent had been guilty of adultery with C. Peter Court the Co-Respondent

of this decree why such decree should not be made absolute and condemned the Co-Respondent in the costs incurred on behalf of the Petitioner in this cause and such further costs to be incurred on behalf of the Petitioner as the Court shall direct to be costs in the cause, such costs incurred and to be incurred to be taxed as between party and party.

## xAt No Direction and the mountain and th

xbembassedmanxhethweemxSedigi temxanxd xe binnuninxaec ondannen with the mprovision escolarithe
ThindsSebenhalemtenthex begadxAid and AdvicesActoxide

AND on the application of Counsel for the Petitioner it is ordered that
Robert Erskine Waddell and Simon Charles Findlay Waddell

children, issue of the marriage between the Petitioner and Respondent, do remain in the custody of the Petitioner until further order of the Court, and it is directed that the said children be not removed from the jurisdiction of this Court without the age of 18 years but provided attain they respectively leave until do give a general written undertaking to Petitioner that if the the Court to return the said children to the jurisdiction when called upon may with the written consent of the to do so, the Petitioner remove the said children from the jurisdiction during the Respondent school holidays for any period specified in such written consent.

