THE HULL ARTILLERY VOLUNTEERS.

LORD LONDESBOROUGH AND THE WAR OFFICE.

COL. HUMPHREY AND OTHER OFFICERS DISMISSED.

DISORDERLY PROCEEDINGS.

On Monday night a parade of the members of the Hull East York Artillery Volunteers was called at the Barracks, Park-street, to meet the honorary colonel, Lord Londesborough. The muster was only a small one, several batteries numbering but one-fourth of their proper standard. Groups of volunteers who were present in uniform did not fall in, and took no part in the proceedings; but the majority of those who had fallen in were formed into line, and Lord Londesborough, accompanied by Colonel Field, inspected them, subsequently the men were drawn up in close order on the platform, upon which the officers, Colonel Field, Lieut.-Colonel Collington, and Major Pudsey had assented.

Lord Londesborough then proceeded to read the letter of the War Office authorities on the recent case in connection with the corps. During the reading the attempts at applause were stopped by the interference of Major Pudsey, who demanded "order." The document which his lordship read was as follows:—

Horse Guards, War Office,
June 20th, 1880.

My Lord,—I have, it in command from His Royal Highness the Field-Marshal Commanding-in-Chief to acknowledge the receipt of your lordship's letter of the 8th instant, addressed to the Right Hon. the Secretary of State for War, conveying your assurance that the consequences of your lordship's act in resigning your commission as hon. colonel of the Hull East York Volunteers, upon the conduct of the corps, are such as you had not contemplated, and expressing your lordship's desire to withdraw the resignation of your resignation. I am desired to inform you that his Royal Highness, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, has given the matter his consideration, and I am accordingly to intimate to your lordship that the letter conveying your resignation may be considered as withdrawn, and that you will be continued in your position of Hon. Colonel of the 4th East York Artillery Volunteers (applause). In consideration of Lieutenant-Colonel Humphrey's conduct on the occasion of the meeting of the corps, held at Hull on the 18th instant, in permitting a resolution so subversive of discipline to be put to the meeting over which he presided, his Royal Highness has, with regret, felt it to be his duty to submit to Her Majesty to dispense with the services of this officer as well as those of Captain T. W. Bury, A.G. H. Wellesley, and E. T. Sharp, who acted with Lieutenant-Colonel Humphrey in a matter displayed throughout the spirit of insubordination. Major Pudsey, the general officer commanding the district, for the dismissal of Sergeant-Major Pexton and bombardier Lieutenant Morris, for the insubordinate manner set by them to their comrades. As honorary colonel, I am to request that you will convey to the officers and members of the corps the regret expressed at their recent proceedings have brought upon them, and also to inform them that any officers, other than those whose services will be dispensed with, may now note to resign their commissions may now put their politics forward in the usual way; and any man who desire to quit the corps may be permitted to do so in accordance with the volunteer regulations. His Royal Highness further desires me to acquaint your lordship that he will be glad to receive the names of any officers who you may recommend to fill the vacancies now caused in the corps, and especially invites your assistance in the difficult task of reorganising the Hull Volunteers, which he is anxious likely to restore and maintain the reputation and discipline of the Fourth East York Artillery Volunteers.

I have the honour to be, my lord,
Your lordship's obedient servant,
H. H. CLIFFORD, A.G.

P.S.—A copy of this letter will be forwarded to the general officer commanding the northern district.

Lord Londesborough then said he hoped that both the officers and men of the corps would perceive that their first duty was to the Queen, and that they must put aside all personal and private feelings. He had, as they knew, felt strongly on the question of their treatment by the War Office, into the merits of which he would not now enter, and he resigned his honorary colonelcy of both this regiment and that of the Rifle Brigade when he found that his telegram to Colonel Humphrey might be misunderstood in what was really great insubordination, although unintentional, being involuntary, he felt it his duty to at once ask the War Office to withdraw his resignation, which permission had been granted. The regiment had hitherto stood as high as any regiment in the service for efficiency and every soldierly quality, and he trusted the time was past when any part of their duties could be patriotism by cheerfully submitting to the orders which had been received from the properly constituted authorities without thinking of their own private feelings.

In response to a request from Lord Londesborough, Colonel Field said he need not go into particulars on the case, but he felt bound merely wished him to make a few remarks as he had been deputed by the general officer commanding the district to convey to the corps and the late officers His Majesty's decision, which his lordship had laid. His duty was naturally a painful one, but the remarks Lord Londesborough made with regard to the corps found an echo from him, for, though, as they were aware, he had not been not do so in the presence of artillery volunteers corps, that part of vital importance was ever before him as commanding officer of this district—their efficiency as soldiers. The Fourth East York Artillery had been always noted as being one of very prime efficiency and a very fine corps, and he would only express a hope that the concluding words of Lord Londesborough might be their creed for the future.

On the conclusion of Colonel Field's remarks the officers were leaving the platform, when a low sound of hissing was heard. Major Pudsey returned to the front, and called "order." This was received with a call for "Colonel Humphrey" (who was not present) and as Major Pudsey again demanding order, a storm of hisses arose, in the midst of which there were given by Colonel Humphrey, Captain Thomson, and Lieutenant Lane, and York. The efforts of the major to obtain order were baffling, and at length he gave the command, "Attention, about turn—dismiss." The brigade then broke up, but many of the men recognising Captain Bury on the north side of the hall, gathered round him with hearty cheers, and subsequently "chaired" him out of the hall. A very body of the men, accompanied by an immense crowd, afterwards proceeded to the residence of Colonel Humphrey on the Anlaby-road, and cheered lustily for their late commander.

HULL ARTISANS' PRIZE DISTRIBUTION.

Last evening the fifth annual distribution of prizes in connection with the Hull Artisans' Prize Movement took place in the Royal Institution. Mr. J. Rollit presided, and was supported by the Mayor, Mr. Crosby, M.P., Mr. Alderman Carpenter, B.A., Mr. C. Judge (hon. sec.), and other gentlemen.

The Chairman, in opening the meeting, said that the objects of the movement would be generally recognised and appreciated. Last year 4,000 and 5,000 prizes had been distributed by its instrumentality during the five years. Apart from the intrinsic value of the prizes, the influences of the competition, and so forth, would be useful to any boy. But that was secondary to the interest and emulation excited in the breasts of the students. The effect of this in some cases was so great that during the first year prizes were offered to those who did not miss attending school for twelve months, and prizes were given that year, whereas last year there would be no prizes given for attendance. The mere fact of a child attending school 400 times in a year was a child's great reconciliation. A total of 630 prizes were to be given for school attendance, good conduct, writing, grammar, and arithmetic, and for the best work of any one kind. The prizes were open to all the elementary schools in the town. In composition it was the practice to give the subject for the competition immediately before the adjudication. Notwithstanding this a great many of the papers were notably well written, as a few which he would read would prove. Dr. Hill had been satisfied with them, and which were so well composed as to elicit frequent applause. After some remarks upon the value and utility of the scholarships of Science and Art, Mr. Rollit said that some time ago he had suggested the formation of a technical school in Hull, to be formed of the representative bodies of the town, and to direct their energies towards the promotion of education, to co-operate with the School Board of the town. He still hoped that the result would be that gentlemen who had been so earnest in the promotion of such a Council. Dr. Rollit then asked the Mayor to distribute the prizes.

The Mayor, who was received with loud cheers, said that it is an honour and a privilege to officiate in the distribution of the large number of prizes he saw before him. It was a most pleasing reflection that so many had competed for the prizes, both successful and unsuccessful competitors, had benefited by the exercise of their intellect. He was sure they were satisfied with the ability of Dr. Rollit, and the evidence they showed of the ability of the writers. He trusted that some of those who had failed in their efforts would be encouraged by the kindness of their fellow-townsmen as Mayor, and he hoped they would wear the chain with honour.

The Mayor then proceeded with the distribution of the prizes, which were received with loud cheers, and he was pleased to see so many of the successful competitors, who had benefited by the exercise of their intellect. Mr. J. Rollit was congratulated on the success of Dr. Rollit, and the evidence they showed of the ability of the writers. He trusted that some of those who had failed in their efforts would be encouraged by the kindness of their fellow-townsmen as Mayor, and he hoped they would wear the chain with honour.

The names of the successful competitors, and the prizes awarded by the Mayoress, handed the prizes, with a few words to each recipient.

Mr. J. Carpenter addressed the meeting. The Mayor, the Mayoress, and the Chairman, the Secretary and Philosophical Society, and the Hull Mr. Carpenter addressed the meeting. The Mayor said that he was sure the Chairman, the Mayoress, and the Mayor, the Chairman, and Mr. Judge. All

Monday, the 26th inst., has been fixed for the execution of the Holderness murderer. A petition for a reprieve of the sentence is being prepared.

HULL SCHOOL BOARD.

The monthly meeting of the above Board was held on Wednesday, at the Town Hall, Mr. T. Stephen presiding.

Mr. Pool, chairman of the Bye-laws Committee, proposed the following resolution, of which he had given notice:—"That the regulation as to the entry of age in the 'Child's School Book' be, and the same is hereby, rescinded, and that the following be adopted in its stead: 'That on the production by the parent or guardian of any child, of a registrar's certificate, a baptismal certificate, an extract from the vaccination register, or the card of any friendly society, the registrar of any of the teachers public elementary schools be, and the same are hereby authorised to make and sign an entry in the 'Child's School Book' of the age of such child; and failing the ability of the parent or guardian to obtain any of the then the head teacher may make such entry from a declaration to be made to him or her by the parent or guardian of the child.'" He said he based his proposal upon of procuring registrar's certificates as being a tax upon many persons, and was unfair to him, and found in a box, which he had left at the lodge, a dozen of such notices. He was apprehended on the Albert Dock-side, and when asked whether he had been a railway guard he said he had not, and represented that he was a sailor. Prisoner then ran away, but the officer chased him, and after a desperate struggle succeeded in securing him. He was also charged with stealing another watch, the property of Wm. Barker, a railway fireman, of Normanton. Prisoner similarly denied the charge, and was sentenced to six months' imprisonment.

POCKET-PICKING.—Edward Sunman, general dealer, Wood-street, Hull, was charged with picking the pocket of Harriet Bell, at the Beverley railway station, on the 1st inst., and stealing a purse containing 15s., was remanded for seven days.

SATURDAY: Before E. C. Twiss, Esq., Stipendiary Magistrate.

CHARGE OF EMBEZZLEMENT.—John P. Roy, a young man, of 16, Woodbine-terrace, Rodney-street, charged with embezzling £2, the money of Joseph Allen, his employer, on the 3rd instant, at Hull. Prisoner pleaded guilty, and was sentenced to six months' imprisonment.

POCKET-PICKING.—Edward Sunman, general dealer, Wood-street, Hull, charged with picking the pocket of Harriet Bell, at the Beverley railway station, on the 1st inst., and stealing a purse containing 15s., was remanded for seven days.

SATURDAY: Before E. C. Twiss, Esq., Stipendiary Magistrate.

CHARGE OF EMBEZZLEMENT.—John P. Roy, a young man, of 16, Woodbine-terrace, Rodney-street, charged with embezzling £2, the money of Joseph Allen, his employer, on the 3rd instant, at Hull. Prisoner pleaded guilty, and was sentenced to six months' imprisonment.

MONDAY: Before E. C. Twiss, Esq., Stipendiary Magistrate.

ALLEGED THEFT.—Mary Jane Muck, on remand, charged with stealing a bed rug and two baskets, the property of Mr. Thomas Wardle, at Hull, on the 2nd instant, during the last five weeks, was discharged, the evidence being insufficient.

CHARGE OF WATCH AND GUARD.—Elizabeth Jessop, charged with stealing a watch and Albert guard from the person of William Bray, in a house in John's-place, on the 2nd inst., was sentenced to three months' imprisonment.

There were twenty-eight charges of drunkenness and disorderly conduct, including several cases of assaults on the police.

TUESDAY: Before E. C. Twiss, Esq., Stipendiary Magistrate.

DISGRACEFUL ROBBERY.—John Balls, a shoemaker, on remand, was charged with stealing some dresses and a quantity of wearing apparel, the property of Rebecca D. Hodgson, Helen's-terrace, Sirelsland-street, Hull, which had been in the habit of visiting prosecutrix's house for the last six years, and had, during her temporary absence in the day time, she having to go out to work, on several occasions to have fetched the door-key from prosecutrix's son who lived in the house, and opened the premises, and entered the house. He pledged most of the articles of clothing which had been left in the care of Mrs. Hodgson by her sister, and had the other goods to different persons. Prisoner pleaded guilty, and was sentenced to three months' imprisonment.

ASSAULTING A POLICEMAN.—Thomas Kirk, fisherlad, charged by his master, Mr. J. Heritage, with being a disorderly apprentice by absconding from his service, was sentenced to seven days' imprisonment.

DRUNKENNESS.—Thomas Fox, Thomas Haigh, Saml. Woolson, James Connell, Francis Nagle, and Henry Burgess were charged with drunkenness.

WEDNESDAY: Before E. C. Twiss, Esq., Stipendiary Magistrate.

ALLEGED SAVAGE ASSAULT BY A BOY.—A boy named Robert Bunningworth, 12 years of age, was charged with assaulting a little girl named Louisa Turnbull, 11 years of age. It appears that the boy and girl lived in the same court, and for some reason or other he had conceived a dislike the girl by striking her with a strap about the arms and body, and also knocking her down and kicked her. It was also alleged that he struck her with a knife. The poor dence was somewhat confused, and the case was remanded for eight days.

ROPE STEALING.—Robert Fisher, labourer, was charged with stealing some rope, value 30s. John Wildman, a Grimsby, belonging to the schooner Anna Katrina, lying in the Queen's Dock, said that last night about 11 o'clock he saw prisoner on the deck of the ship cutting at a rope which was used for mooring purposes. Witness was at the time on shore, but on coming on board at 2 o'clock in the morning, and having secured him he tied him to the winch while he fetched a policeman. Remanded till Friday next.

UNJUST WEIGHTS.—Francis Janson, grocer, Mill-street, was summoned for having unjust weights. Mr. W. H. Dawson, Inspector of Weights and Measures, said that when he visited defendant's shop he found a one-pound weight attached therefore to the coal scale in such a manner that it was hid from the purchaser. Mr. Twiss said it was a most iniquitous case. Defendant's wife came forward and said she had put the weight on to suit the convenience of her customers. A scale of coal was sold for 1½d., and when they had not the farthing customers asked for one pennyworth of coal for 1½d. weight was put on to take off the farthing. Mr. Twiss said he was quite satisfied with the explanation, and defendant was fined 20s. and costs.

THURSDAY: Before E. C. Twiss, Esq., Stipendiary Magistrate.

DISORDERLY APPRENTICES.—David Galloway and John Kenney, fisherlads, were charged by their master, Mr. Henry Woolford and Thomas Morris, to 30 days' imprisonment, for absenting themselves without leave.

ALLEGED SERIOUS ASSAULT BY A WOMAN.—Catherine Howes, *alias* Savage, an old offender, was charged with assaulting a woman named Ann Ellis, at Hull, and the face was injured in a most frightful manner, and her arm was severely cut and bruised. The parties live in Chapel-street. Prisoner said she was drunk and could not tell she had a good defence to the charge, and at her request the case was adjourned for a week.

SMUGGLING TOBACCO AND CIGARETTES.—Edwin Barrath and George Williams, young men, were charged with a smuggling 13lbs. of cavendish tobacco, 6oz. of cigars, and a small quantity of tobacco, on the 2nd inst., and were in the act of taking the goods away from the steamer Arlos that morning. They had been given to him by Williams. Defendants were fined £10 and costs.

ALLEGED ASSAULT BY A CAPTAIN.—Alexander Sagger, master of the ship Teraciba, was charged with assaulting John Dodds, chief officer of the ship, on the 2nd inst., at Victoria Dock. Mr. Summers appeared for the complainant and Mr. Laverack for the defendant. Complainant had been with the defendant on board the ship from Hull to Tenday, and on the following day when complainant was at the helm in the cabin to ask him if he was going to be paid at Hull, defendant ran at him in a violent manner, knocking him down senseless. He remembered nothing more until he found that a wound on his head was being dressed by the ship's surgeon. Complainant, in cross-examination, denied that he was drunk, or that he challenged to fight defendant with revolvers. Nothing of the kind was mentioned. He had abuse the captain in any way. The defendant had often threatened to put him off the ship. He had no captain's certificate. It was cancelled because his papers were proved against him. A policeman said the complainant gave the defendant into custody near the North Bridge. Complainant was bleeding very much from a wound on his head. He was not drunk. Several other witnesses were called to prove that complainant was sober. One of them, in cross-examination, admitted that he was "three sheets in the wind," and another said he was not prepared to be the war for drink. Mr. Laverack, for the defence, said the complainant went on board in a state of partial intoxication, and he was perfectly justified in the ordered him to leave the ship. He refused for some time, and was ultimately left the vessel, and so did the defendant. When one came again he was ordered off the ship, and some words the defendant struck him, and he fell amongst some stones and cut his face. As proved by the witnesses called for the defence, complainant was given to drink, and his conduct during the voyage had given the defendant considerable trouble. Robert Madge, boatswain, said he was on board the Teraciba on the 2nd inst., had evidently been drinking. Immediately afterwards witness was called by the captain to assist in removing complainant off the ship. Witness took him on deck, and as he refused to leave the ship and was creating a disturbance, they were about to eject him when he sprang ashore. It was totally untrue that defendant struck the complainant before he left the vessel. The captain went ashore and sent for a policeman, who was accompanied with him and defendant struck him. Complainant was attempting to return the blow, but he slipped and fell on a stone. It was not so much as a kick or a blow. Cross-examined by defendant that the complainant fell. Frederick Cooper, a sailmaker, said that he assisted Madge in forcing the complainant off the ship, and proceeding to the captain's cabin. He was the worse for drink, and resisted for some time. Witness did not see complainant struck before he left the ship. Witness was present when the parties went ashore, as he was sent by the defendant for a policeman. Worship was of opinion that an assault had been committed by the defendant but he considered that he was evidently brought it on himself. The defendant would have to enter into his own recognizances to keep the peace, and each party would have to find their own sureties.

EMBEZZLEMENT BY A GROCER'S ASSISTANT.—John Paul Roy, was charged on remand with embezzlement. Mr. Greenhow prosecuted, and said the prisoner had been in the employ of the firm of Messrs. Crone and Allen, wholesale grocers, 19, North-street. It was his duty to receive money and collect the accounts, which were checked by one of the partners in the cash book the same evening. Joseph Allen said he saw the prisoner start on his rounds on the morning of the 3rd inst., and he did not return. Witness did not see him afterwards until he was in the custody of the police. It was part of the prisoner's duty to collect money from the customers of the firm, and the moneys it was the prisoner's business to account for the same evening, and they were entered in the cash book by other witnesses or his partner. After the prisoner had absconded it was found that two items of money received from a customer named Mrs. Boon, and an item received from Mr. Cooper, another customer, of 9s. 6d., 17s. 4d., and £2 respectively, had been entered by the prisoner and not accounted for. Prisoner had been employed by the firm about 15 months. He had formerly been in the service of the firm, and had always borne a good character. Mr. Greenhow said Arthur Cooper proved the money paid to the prisoner. The prisoner was apprehended by Detective-Sergeant Durkin on Friday, at Bradford, and when he was taken to the charge he replied, "All right." He pleaded guilty, and was sentenced to three months' imprisonment with hard labour.

DRUNKENNESS AND DISORDERLY CONDUCT.—Hannah Marsden, William Maggary, and Lavinia Thomas were fined for drunkenness.—Annie Gurrie, charged with being drunk and a disorderly prostitute, was sentenced to three months' imprisonment, and Ann Revill, a young woman, and Nathaniel Rutter, were fined for behaving in a disorderly manner in the street.

BREACHES OF THE LICENSING ACT IN HULL.

OPENING BEFORE THE TIME.

At the Hull Police-court on Monday, before the Stipendiary Magistrate (Mr. E. C. Twiss), John Robinson, Thos. Henworth, Robert Spencer, and John Briggs, were summoned for being on licensed premises during prohibited hours. Mr. Woolfrey said that on the 30th June he was watching outside the Corporation and Crown, Nightgate-street, and saw the doors open at ten minutes to six o'clock in the morning. He went in with P.C. Chapman, and saw ten men in the drunkshop, each having a pint of ale before him.

Charlotte Robinson, landlord of the Corporation Arms, was next summoned for being on his premises before six o'clock. Dr. Rollit, on behalf of defendant, stated that Mrs. Robinson had been a licensed victualler for seven years, and had always kept the house in a proper manner. There had been a mistake in the time, and as soon as he found out what the hour was he totally was stopped selling any more. He thought that this was the first case where judgment might be repeated, and he promised it would not come again. His Worship said that he could not adopt the course suggested by Dr. Rollit, as it was the duty of landlords to look after their premises. He would therefore inflict a fine of 20s. and costs, but the licence was not to be forfeited.

The four men who were found in the house were fined 5s. each and costs.

A LODGER CHARGED WITH DRUNKENNESS.

William Croft, Beverley-road, was summoned for being drunk on Monday morning, at Hull. Inspector Jones said that about midnight on the 24th June, he was on duty on the Holderness-road, when his attention was called by P.C. Chapman to a man in Wind Mill Witham. He went to the house and heard loud talking. He knocked at the door, and was admitted. When he arrived there he found a person with Mrs. Clarke, landlord of the Wind Mill Inn, said that he was in the bedroom with defendant, and they both went home together. Defendant was quite sober. On arriving home witness went to bed, leaving defendant to get his supper. Mr. Kingston-square said that defendant came to him about a quarter to one o'clock stating that the police had charged him with being drunk. Witness had seen defendant when he was not drunk when he saw him. His Worship said after this evidence he could not entertain the charge. The police had, he must say, evidently made a mistake.

Another summons against the defendant Croft for being found on licensed premises, and two charges of drunkenness of the Windmill Inn, Mr. Clark, were then withdrawn.

ILLEGAL SALE OF DRINK.

Emma Brown was summoned for selling drink without a licence. Mr. Woolfrey, on behalf of Mr. Greenhow, said that about a quarter to one o'clock on the morning of the 27th inst. he was going along Clifton-terrace, near Goodwin-street, when he saw defendant attended at £186 (897.50 dols.) of 4 per cent. Income Mortgage Bonds and £20 of Ordinary Stock (Income Compound) and £20 of Ordinary Stock, or a total nominal Capital of £226 (1,090